Searching for a Better Life: Predicting International Migration with Online Search Keywords

Marcus Böhme^a, André Gröger^b, Tobias Stöhr^c

^aOrganisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) ^bUniversitat Autonoma de Barcelona (UAB) and Barcelona Graduate School ^cKiel Institute for the World Economy (IfW) and IZA



27

Motivation

- Migration data: scarce, largely inconsistent across countries, often outdated particularly in developing countries
- Internet usage around the world provides geo-referenced traces of online search queries

Search intensity distribution for "pasaporte" in mid-April 2018



3 Kolumbien

5 Uruguay

4 Dominikanische Republik

• Search query logs may proxy latent demand for information

Research Question:

• Can online search data be used to measure migration intentions in origin countries in order to predict subsequent outflows?

Methodology

- 1. Can the standard panel model of international migration flows be improved?
- Method 1: Panel regression
- $Y_{ot+1} = \alpha + \beta T_{ot} + \gamma O_{ot} + \eta D_t + \delta_o + \tau_t + \epsilon_{ot}$ Y_{ot+1} : international migration flows T_{ot} : aggregated search volumes O_{ot} : origin country determinants
- D_t : destination country determinants δ_o : origin-FE τ_t : time-FE

2. Can we ensure improvements are not due to overfit?

- Method 2.1: Shrinkage methods Least absolute selection and shrinkage operator (LASSO)
 - Least-angle regression (LARS) algorithm
- Method 2.2: Out-of-sample exercise 10-fold cross validation assessing OOS-R² and OOS-RMSE

3. Why is there additional predictive power?

- Method 3: Comparison with survey-data on intention to migrate from the Gallup World Poll
 - Do search volumes and survey instruments correlate?
 - Horse-race specification: Which explains more?



Data

- Google Trends Data
 - Access through API
 - Download average daily search intensity per country
 - Aggregate to yearly average
- "Semantic scholar" Top third of 100 most correlated terms for "immigration" and

Keyword selection

"economic"

ENG, FRA, ESP

Search interest for "pasaporte" in Venezuela over time

Interesse im zeitlichen Ve	erlauf 💿		<u>≢</u> ↔ <
75			
50			
25	m n	\sim	
01.01.2004	01.03.2008	Hinweis 01.05.2012	Hinwels 01.07.2016

- Additional data
 - OECD yearly migration flows 2004-2015
 - World Development Indicators
 - Minimum setup: GDP, Population
 - Extended setup: unemployment rate, share of young population, share of internet users, mobile phone subscriptions
 - Melitz-Toubal (2015) language matrix
 - EM-DAT weather and non-weather related disasters
 - Polity IV Autocracy Score, State Fragility Index

No trade-off between OOS-R² and OOS-RMSE

Results #1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Google Trends	None	Migration	Economic	Mig+Econ
GTI Migration keywords (37)	× /	$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$	× /	$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$
GTI Economic keywords (37)		·		
Origin FE				
Year FE				
Observations	1,068	1,068	1,068	1,068
Joint significance GTI keywords (p-value)	_	0.000	0.0002	0.000
within- R^2	0.077	0.2080	0.167	0.258
Number of Origins	98	98	98	98
Panel B: Spoken Language Share > 50	0% at Origi	n		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Google Trends	None	Migration	Economic	Mig+Econ
		0		1118 20011
GTI Migration keywords (37)				
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37)				$\sqrt[]{}$
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37) Origin FE				$\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt$
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37) Origin FE Year FE			 	$\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{1}}}{\sqrt{1}}$
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37) Origin FE Year FE Observations	$\sqrt[]{}$ 732	$$ $$ 732	$\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ 732	$ \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt$
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37) Origin FE Year FE Observations Joint significance GTI keywords (p-value)	$\sqrt[]{}$ 732	$\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ 732 0.000	$\sqrt[]{} \sqrt[]{} \sqrt[]{} \sqrt[]{} \sqrt$	$ \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ 732 \\ 0.000 \end{array} $
GTI Migration keywords (37) GTI Economic keywords (37) Origin FE Year FE Observations Joint significance GTI keywords (p-value) within- R^2	$\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{732}$ - 0.089	$\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ $\sqrt[]{}$ 732 0.000 0.255	$\sqrt[]{} \sqrt[]{} \sqrt[]{$	$ \begin{array}{r} \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ \sqrt{} \\ 732 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.312 \end{array} $

Results #3

- Average of "the" three GWP migration intention items explain variation in panel regression
- Adding our search volumes more than halves their coefficient, yet GWP item remains statistically significant
- Interpretation: The latent variation we capture

Results #2 Large gains in OOS-R² 8 22



• Shrinkage-models (in first differences to get as close as possible to the panel regression) indicate explanatory value of additional keywords, leaving about 15 of 37 migration keywords in optimal model

• OOS-results indicate increased share of variation explained with improved forecasting error

overlaps considerably with the latent concept the GWP seeks to measure. Further research needed

Conclusion

- Search volumes can help improve models of international migration
- Gains in explained variance will be higher if tested languages are widely spoken and if more people have access to the internet
- Could be developed into approach that might be useful in forecasting/nowcasting emigration